Issues in International Finance Benefits of international capital markets

UW - Madison // Fall 2018

Roadmap

- Where we have been
 - 1. Measuring external transactions and wealth
 - 2. Unbalanced trade means borrowing or lending with ROW
 - 3. The long run budget constraint
- Today
 - 1. The gains from international borrowing and lending
 - 2. Consumption smoothing, efficient investment, risk diversification,

Open economy vs. closed economy

▶ In a closed economy, TB = 0. Budget must balance every period.

GNE = GDP

▶ In a closed economy $TB \neq 0$. Budget must balance in the long run.



Gains from intertemporal trade

- ▶ In "trade" class, learn about gains from trade
 - ► Comparative advantage
 - Heckscher-Ohlin
 - Gains from variety
 - ▶ Trade is balanced do not discuss current accounts
- We are considering unbalanced trade
 - ► Trading over time: intertemporal trade
 - Associated with international borrowing and lending
- ► Gains from intertemporal trade
 - 1. Consumption smoothing
 - 2. Efficient investment
 - 3. Risk diversification

- international

- Assumptions
 - 1. A representative household (not important)
 - 2. The household wants smooth consumption (important)
 - 3. No G or I (will relax later)
 - **4.** $W_{-1} = (not important)$
 - **5.** Country is small: cannot affect r^* (not important)
- Consider a two-period world. The LRBC is

$$C_0 + rac{C_1}{1+r^*} = Q_0 + rac{Q_1}{1+r^*}$$

• C = GNE (since G = I = 0) and Q = GDP

Let's rewrite the LRBC

$$C_0 + rac{C_1}{1+r^*} = Q_0 + rac{Q_1}{1+r^*}$$

 $C_1 = Q_1 + (1+r^*)(Q_0 - C_0)$
 ξ

▶ If Q₀ - C₀ < 0</p>

Consume more than output in period 0

Consume less than output in period 1

- Opposite is true if $Q_0 C_0 > 0$
- The relative price of period 1 consumption is $1 + r^*$

1

- ▶ How should the household set C₀ and C₁?
- Need an intertemporal utility function
- ► Example:

►

$$U(C_0, C_1) = \min\{C_0, C_1\}$$
• The solution to this is $C_0 = C_1$ is here the wants

- If $Q_0 = Q_1$, this is easy: $C_0 = Q_0$ and $C_1 = Q_1$
- What about times when $Q_0 \neq Q_1$?
 - ► Recessions/expansions ~ busines cyclas.
 - ► War/peace
 - Natural disasters

An example: Q₀ = 100, Q₁ = 105, and r = 0.05
 In a closed economy, C₀ = 100, C₁ = 105 and U = min{100, 105} = 100
 Consumption is not smooth



- The household is better off in the open economy because it has a smoother consumption path
- trade defeit The BOP accounting Time D: $TB_0 = Q_0 - C_0 = 100 - 102.44 = -2.44$ $CA_0 = -2.44 + 0 = -2.44$, (NFIA = 0) FA0=2.44 2 exparting an asset worth 2.44

Borrow 2.44 in period 0, pay back with interest in period 1 $TB_{e|} = Q_1 - C_1 = 105 - 102.44 = 2.56$ trade surplus. TIME $CA_{\bullet} = 2.56 - 0.1215 = 2.44, (NFIA = -2.44 * 0.05 = -0.1215)$ $FA_{B} = -2.44$ 1×r

- ▶ The two-period example extends naturally to many periods
- ▶ Output is 79, then 100 forever



.

Does not pay off debt, makes interest payments forever

government quality, laws, financial
 Less developed countries worry about access to international borrowing

institutional factors

- Often, international lenders do not want to lend to countries during recessions (worry about repayment)
- ► These economies build up a stock of foreign assets (W >> 0) to spend during recessions, rather than borrow. ~ SELE INSURANCE.
- This kind of savings takes two forms

-

- Central bank foreign reserves (hold dollars, euros, ...)
- Sovereign wealth funds (buy assets in other countries)

Gains from intertemporal trade

- ► Gains from intertemporal trade
 - **1.** Consumption smoothing \checkmark
 - 2. Efficient investment
 - 3. Risk diversification

Efficient investment invistment: trade off some cons. tody for more out tomorrow

Add investment to our previous model (labor & capital create output)

$$C_0 + I_0 + rac{C_1}{1+r^*} = Q_0 + rac{Q_1}{1+r^*}$$

- With $I_0 = 0$: $Q_0 = Q_1 = 100$
- With $I_0 = 5$: $Q_0 = 100, Q_1 = 110$
- In a closed economy either

▶
$$I_0 = 0$$
 and $C_0 = 100$, $C_1 = 100$ $\mathcal{K} \stackrel{\texttt{M}}{=} \stackrel{\texttt{S}}{=} 100$, $(\mathcal{W} \stackrel{\texttt{M}}{=} = 100)$

►
$$I_0 = 5$$
 and $C_0 = 95$, $C_1 = 110$ $U = Win \xi 95$, $U_0 \xi = 95$

Very unsmooth consumption if investment is made

Efficient investment

► In an open economy

$$C_{0} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{C_{1}}{1.05} = \frac{Q_{0}}{100} + \frac{110}{1.05}$$

$$C_{0} + \frac{5}{5} + \frac{C_{1}}{1.05} = 100 + \frac{110}{1.05}$$

$$C_{1} + \frac{1}{1.05} = 95 + \frac{110}{1.05}$$

$$C_{1} = 102.32$$

$$TB_{0} = Q_{0} - C_{0} - I_{0} = 100 - 102.32 - 5 = 7.32$$

$$TB_{0} = Q_{0} - C_{0} - I_{0} = 100 - 102.32 - 5 = 7.32$$

$$C_{1} = 102.32$$

$$TB_{0} = Q_{0} - C_{0} - I_{0} = 100 - 102.32 - 5 = 7.32$$

$$C_{1} = 102.32$$

$$TB_{0} = Q_{0} - C_{0} - I_{0} = 100 - 102.32 - 5 = 7.32$$

$$C_{1} = 102.32$$

$$C_{1} = 102.32$$

► Consume more today because you will be richer tomorrow

Efficient investment

- We have seen some of this before S I = CA
- International borrowing/lending allows for smoother consumption while still taking advantage of investment opportunities
- Example: Norway
 - Massive investments in North Sea oil drilling
 - ► Would payoff in the future
 - Borrow from abroad to fund investment



Issues in International Finance Benefits of international capital markets II

UW - Madison // Fall 2018

Roadmap

- Where we have been
 - 1. Measuring external transactions and wealth
 - 2. Unbalanced trade means borrowing or lending with ROW
 - 3. The long run budget constraint
- Today
 - 1. The gains from international borrowing and lending
 - 2. Consumption smoothing, efficient investment, risk diversification

Gains from intertemporal trade

- ► Gains from intertemporal trade
 - **1.** Consumption smoothing \checkmark
 - 2. Efficient investment
 - 3. Risk diversification

Efficient investment

- We have seen how world capital markets allow for smoothing the costs of investment: very similar to the consumption smoothing benefit we studied earlier.
- Now we consider a second aspect of investment: moving capital across countries to equalize returns
- ► This is a long run idea. Remember: with flexible prices and open capital markets, we have real interest rate parity. Let's see what this implies.

Optimal capital investment

- investment in physical cypital Finance investment with a lean
- Production function (A=productivity, K=capital, L=labor)
- $\underline{Q} = A \underline{L}^{1-\theta} K^{\theta} / 3$ rker terms (k=capital per worker) $q = Ak^{\theta}$ per worker $q = Ak^{\theta}$ per worker $q = A L^{\Theta} K^{\Theta}$ per worker $q = A (K)^{\Theta}$ In per worker terms (*k*=capital per worker)
- To maximize output, how much capital do we choose?

max Que Ak^θ - rk 2 der Natrie =0 The first order condition is $\theta A k^{\theta-1} = r$

The marginal product of capital

The first order condition says that MPK = r

$$\frac{\partial Ak^{\theta-1}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\theta-1}} = r$$

r is the marginal cost of capital: if not investing in capital, could be lending to someone

1

- ▶ *MPK* is falling as *k* grows
 - This is the diminishing returns to capital
 - ▶ When *k* is small, *MPK* is high
 - ▶ When *k* is large, *MPK* is low
- A = 1 and $\theta = 1/3$ Let's take a look...





MPK in rich and poor countries

- ► Two countries: US and Mexico
- ▶ Assumption: A and θ are the same in both countries
- ▶ $k^{us} = 1, k^{mx} = 0.08$
- ▶ $q^{us} = 1, q^{mx} = 0.43$
- Mexico is poor relative to the US because it doesn't have enough factories, trucks, machines...
- ▶ ... but Mexico should be a great investment opportunity!
- $\blacktriangleright MPK^{us} = 0.333, MPK^{mx} = 1.79 \rightarrow MPK^{mx} / MPK^{us} = 5.4$
- ► Capital should flow to Mexico

MPK in rich and poor countries

- Capital should flow out of rich countries and into poor countries (capital flows to places with highest returns)
- Eventually all countries converge to the same level of k and then r will be same across countries (we have already seen this result)
- This is a very powerful (and optimistic) implication of economic theory: poor countries will become rich countries!
- We can speed up this transition by subsidizing loans to poor countries or giving 'gifts'



The Lucas Paradox

- Problem: we do not observe capital flowing out of rich countries and into poor countries (we even see the opposite)
- ▶ What model assumption should we get rid of? Identical *A*.
- Suppose $A^{mx} = 0.63$ and $A^{us} = 1$
- Need $k^{mx} = 0.33$ to have the same output as before

$$9 = A\left(\frac{k}{L}\right)^{2}$$

- ▶ $k^{us} = 1$. $k^{mx} = 0.33$
- ▶ $q^{us} = 1, q^{mx} = 0.43$
- Mexico is poor relative to the US because it doesn't have enough factories, trucks, machines... and because it cannot produce as much output per unit of capital
- ▶ The MPK difference falls dramatically
- $MPK^{us} = 0.333, MPK^{mx} = 0.44 \rightarrow MPK^{mx} / MPK^{us} = 1.33$
- The returns are not so different anymore...

1 1

What is A?

- Total factor productivity (TFP)
- ▶ Most of the differences in *q* across countries come from *A*
- It is an unobserved 'residual'
 - ▶ If you know *K*, *L*, and *Q* you can compute *A*
- It is technological efficiency
 - Do poor countries use worse technology? To some extent, but not thought to be the big difference across countries.
- It reflects the ability to implement technologies
 - Institutional quality: How good is the government? How much red tape? Bribes? Infrastructure?

The World Bank doing business is inspired by this idea http://www.doingbusiness.org/

The Lucas Paradox

- ▶ Why doesn't capital flow to poor countries?
- ▶ The rate of return is much lower than simply theory predicts
 - ► TFP differences across countries

Risk premiums (poor countries default more)

Downer: Giving subsidized loans (or aid) not likely to help much

Gains from intertemporal trade

- ► Gains from intertemporal trade
 - **1.** Consumption smoothing \checkmark
 - 2. Efficient investment \checkmark
 - 3. Risk diversification

Risk diversification

- Business cycles are shocks to income; households would like smooth consumption
- We studied one way to smooth consumption: debt
- Another way to smooth consumption is to smooth income: hold equity in other countries
- Since business cycles are not perfectly synchronized across countries, this allow for diversification of risk
- The more out-of-sync are business cycles, the more room there is for risk sharing

Diversification

- ► Assumption: Labor and capital used to produce output
- Assumption: No borrowing or lending (not important)
- ► Assumption: No investment, no government (not important)
- Assumption: Two countries suffer equal and opposite shocks to income (important)
 - ► In state 1: $Q^A = 90$, $Q^B = 110$ even d^{even}
 - ▶ In state 2: $Q^A = 110$, $Q^B = 90$ odd yews

► States alternate through time: 1,2,1,2,1,2...

▶ Split between labor and capital is 60-40 (important)



Closed economy

- No cross border borrowing/lending or equity
- ► Each country owns all of its capital stock



- In each country, consumption alternates between 90 and 110. Not very smooth.
- ▶ World output (income) is constant

Open economy

- Allow countries to own some of the other country's capital stock
- ▶ Receive income payments from your capital in the other country
- ► Suppose each country buys 50% of the other country's capital

		Country A				Country B			World
	٢K	wL	GNI	ΤВ	NFIA	/K)	wL	GNI	GNI
State 1	(40)	54	94	-4	+4	(40\)	66	(106)	200
State 2	40	66	106	+4	-4	40	54	94	200

► Capital income has zero volatility

Income (and consumption) volatility has fallen

Limits to risk sharing

- ▶ The extent of risk sharing depends on two factors
- 1. The correlation of country income
 - ▶ Income shocks that are negatively correlated can be diversified

Income shocks that are positively correlated cannot

- 2. How much income can be traded
 - How easy is it to own capital in a foreign country?
 - Not generally easy to own someone else's labor income

Gains from intertemporal trade

- ► Gains from intertemporal trade
 - **1.** Consumption smoothing \checkmark
 - 2. Efficient investment \checkmark
 - **3.** Risk diversification \checkmark
- Q: How much of the gains do we see?
- ► A: Not as much as theory predicts.
 - Consumption is not very smooth
 - Cross border investment is low
 - Portfolios are biased toward domestic assets
- Tends to be worse in poorer countries

Limits to international financial markets

- ▶ Why do we not see more international finance?
 - ► Regulation (limits to foreign investment)
 - Capital controls
 - Transactions costs
 - Institutional risk (expropriation, default)
 - ▶ Undiversifiable risk (global shocks, labor income shocks)
- Many of these are institutional factors

